Semi-probabilistic model

Probabilistic framework. Let the data be generated by a joint distribution of two random variables: a feature vector $\boldsymbol{x} \sim X$ and a class label $\boldsymbol{y} \sim Y$ from a given parametric family ($\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the parameter), with each observation being independent:

$$\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \sim f_{X,Y}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sim (X \times Y)^{\ell}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, \boldsymbol{y} = 1..N$$
 (1)

Given a training sample, we need to estimate the parameter values and build a predictive model:

$$\hat{y}(\boldsymbol{x}) = a_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{2}$$

Model. We will use the formalism of **semi-probabilistic models**, *i.e.*, we will consider X values as fixed and Y values as variables in the model. In other words, as a predictive model, we will build a probability distribution $f_Y(y|x^*, \theta)$ for the random variable Y, parameterized by the data $x^* \in X^{\ell}$ and the distribution shape parameters θ

Likelihood function. Let's write the joint distribution for all observed points as a product of independent distributions:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{(\boldsymbol{x}^*, y^*) \in (X, Y)^{\ell}} \underbrace{\frac{f(\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, y = y^* | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\text{likelihood}}}_{\text{predictive model } a_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

$$= \prod_{(\boldsymbol{x}^*, y^*) \in (X, Y)^{\ell}} \underbrace{\frac{f_Y(y = y^* | \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\underbrace{f_X(\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^* | \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{prior distribution}}}}$$
(3)

Applying the logarithm to the likelihood function, we obtain the log-likelihood function:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{y}^*) \in (X, Y)^{\ell}} \ln \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{y}^* | \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \to \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$
(4)

Parameter estimation. Thus, we can find the parameter values θ and use them in the conditional distribution for prediction:

$$a_{\hat{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}') = \underbrace{f_{Y}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}_{\text{distribution of } Y \text{ must be chosen}}$$
(5)

Cross-entropy loss. From summing over specific points $y^* \in Y^{\ell}$, we can transition to summing over all possible values y' = 1..N, assuming zero probability for point y^* belonging to another class $y' \neq y^*$ and defining $0 \cdot \ln 0 \equiv 0$ by definition.

$$\begin{split} \ell &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{\ell}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}' \in \operatorname{supp} Y} \llbracket \boldsymbol{y}' = \boldsymbol{y}^* \rrbracket \cdot \ln \mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{y}' | \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}] \\ &\sim \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{\ell}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}' \in \operatorname{supp} Y} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{y}' | \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}]}_{\text{smooth approximation of } \llbracket} \cdot \ln \mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{y}' | \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\theta}] \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \end{split}$$

This is cross-entropy loss, which can be used if the model predicts **probabilities of belonging to each class**.
$$\begin{split} f(x,y|\theta) &= \frac{f(x,y,\theta)}{f(\theta)} = \frac{f(y|x,\theta)/f(x,\theta)}{f(\theta)} \\ &= \frac{f(y|x,\theta)}{f(\theta) \cdot f(x,\theta)} = \frac{f(y|x,\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} \end{split}$$

The prior distribution $f_X(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is canceled out from the product above.

* The maximum likelihood method considers the prior distribution of x unknown and

unimportant (unlike in MAP), focusing solely on the conditional distribution $\mathbb{P}[y|x^*, \theta]$ which serves as a model for building the algorithm $\hat{y} = a(x)$.

(6)

* Generally, when the chosen prior distribution is parameter-independent $(f_{\{X\}}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv f_{\{X\}}(\boldsymbol{x})),$

it naturally cancels out since it remains constant for fixed x^* and does not depend on θ .